

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.         | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/710,646  | 11/10/2000  | Sameh A. Fakhouri    | YOR920000201US1(13731) 5757 |                  |  |
| 7590 01/10/2005   |             |                      | EXAMINER                    |                  |  |
| Richard L Catania Scully Scott Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530 |             |                      | BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R        |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT                    | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                      | 2155                        |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |   | T  |       |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|-------|--|--|--|
|  | Appli  | cation No.  | Applicant(s)   |       |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  |  | 0,646   | FAKHOURI ET AL.  |       |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summa  | Exam   | iner  | Art Unit   |       |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  | min R Bruckart  | 2155   |       |  |  |  |
| Th MAILING DATE of this co<br>Period for Reply   | mmunication appears or   | the cov rsheet with the   | correspond nce add   | iress |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM  - Extensions of time may be available under the pu after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of tl  - If the period for reply specified above is less than If NO period for reply is specified above, the max  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7   | MUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a nis communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within the imum statutory period will apply a for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of the | no event, however, may a reply be to<br>e statutory minimum of thirty (30) da<br>nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>e application to become ABANDONI | mely filed<br>ys will be considered timely<br>n the mailing date of this co<br>ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |       |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |       |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication   | (s) filed on <u>5-25-2005</u> .  |   |  |       |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  | 2b)⊠ This action   | is non-final.   |  |       |  |  |  |
| • • •  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    |   |  |       |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |  |       |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s)  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected  7) Claim(s) is/are objected  8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to re   | _ is/are withdrawn from<br>d to.   |   |  |       |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  | 1   |  |       |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to   | by the Examiner.   |   |  |       |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |       |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that ar  | ny objection to the drawing  | (s) be held in abeyance. Se   | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |       |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11)⊠ The oath or declaration is obje   |  |   | -  |       |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |       |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified copies o | e of: priority documents have priority documents have priority documents have priority documents documents documents of the priority documents.  | been received.<br>been received in Applica<br>uments have been receiv<br>Rule 17.2(a)).   | tion No<br>ved in this National s  | Stage |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |       |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |  |       |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing References</li> </ol>   | aview (PTO-948)  | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [  |  |       |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20010525.   |  | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:   |  | -152) |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/710,646

Art Unit: 2155

### **Detailed Action**

Claims 1-20 are pending in this Office Action.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 5/25/01 has been considered.

## Formal Drawings

The formal drawings received on 4/25/01 have been entered.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 9, 17, are drawn to a method, apparatus and program storage device for resource discovery in a cluster configuration, classified in class 709, subclass 223.
- II. Claims 2, 10, 18 are drawn to a method, apparatus and program storage device for object oriented database of resources, classified in class 707, subclass 103.
- III. Claims 3, 11, 19 are drawn to a method, apparatus and program storage device for an optimization solver with graphical representation and translation, classified in class 706, subclass 19.
- IV. Claims 4, 12, 20 are drawn to a method, apparatus and program storage device for preprocessing events for optimizations and decisions, classified in class 706, subclass 46.
- V. Claims 5 and 13 are drawn to a method and apparatus for post processing solutions with dependencies, classified in class 706, subclass 17.

Art Unit: 2155

VI. Claims 6 and 14 are drawn to a method and apparatus for frame based reasoning, classified in class 706, subclass 53.

VII. Claims 7 and 15 are drawn to a method and apparatus task management with a scheduler, classified in class 718, subclass 102.

VIII. Claims 8 and 16 are drawn to a method and apparatus for distributed simulation, classified in class 706, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reason:

Invention Groups I-VIII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are show to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention in Group I has separate utility such locating discovering and managing resources. See MPEP § 806.05(c). Invention in Group II has separate utility and is for manipulating object-oriented database. Invention in Group III has separate utility and is for an optimization solver. Invention in Group IV has separate utility and is for preprocessing events. Invention in Group V has separate utility and is post processing solutions with dependencies. Invention in Group VII has separate utility and is for frame-based reasoning. Invention in Group VII has separate utility and is for task management with a scheduler. Invention in Group VIII has separate utility and is for distribution and simulation.

Inventions in Group I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 09/710,646

Art Unit: 2155

808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are (1) discovering and managing resources, (2) manipulating an object-oriented database, (3) optimization solver, (4) preprocessing events and creating a solution, (5) post processing a solution with dependencies, (6) frame based reasoning, (7) task manager with a scheduler, and (8) distributed and simulation system.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reason given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and so on, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is reminded that to traverse this requirement on the grounds that the groups are not patentably distinct, applicant should present evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the groups to be obvious variations of one another. If the groups are determined not to be patentably distinct and they remain in this application, any rejection of one group over prior art will apply equally to all other embodiments. See Ex parte Appeal No. 315-40, 152 USPQ 71 (Bd. App. 1965). No argument asserting

patentability based on the differences between the groups will be considered once the groups have been determined to comprise a single inventive concept.

### Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: The oath and declaration does not have any signatures.

### Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire ONE MONTH or 30 DAYS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin R Bruckart whose telephone number 571-272-3982. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 PM with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2155

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3982.

Benjamin R Bruckart Examiner Art Unit 2155 brb 1/10/05

> HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER